

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0700-0469

Case Title:

Jacam Chemicals LLC

Reporting Office:

Kansas City, KS, Area Office

Subject of Report:

Report of Interview of Kansas Corporation Commission Employee (b) (6), (b) (7)(C), r

Activity Date:

April 11, 2013

Reporting Official and Date:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C), (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Special Agent

11-APR-2013, Signed by: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Approving Official and Date:

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

Special Agent in Charge

12-APR-2013, Approved by: (b) (6), (b) (7)(C)

SYNOPSIS

SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) interviewed KCC employee, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) about (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) observations at the Matlock 2 salt water disposal well in Rice County, Kansas. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) indicated that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) observed a Jacam employee injecting chemicals into the salt water only disposal well. When (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) asked the well operator, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) about the chemicals being injected into the well, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was told that the chemicals help the well accept salt water.

DETAILS

On April 11, 2013, SA (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) conducted a telephonic interview with Kansas Corporation Commission (KCC) Petroleum Industry Regulatory Technician (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) indicated that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) has worked for the KCC for fifteen years. Prior (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) employment with the KCC, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) worked for Texaco Trading and Transportation for 18 years as a pipe line utility worker. In (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) current capacity, (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) conducts well spill checks, observes mechanical integrity testing (MIT), lease inspections, and permitting related to work over and drillings rigs. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) works primarily with operators in the oil and gas extraction industry.

Approximately two years ago, the KCC requested that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) conduct MIT on the Matlock 2 salt water disposal well. The MIT was required by the KCC issued operating permit for the well. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was checking several oil well leases in the area of the Matlock 2 salt water disposal well when (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) observed a large flat bed truck at the Matlock 2 well. The truck had removable side rails around the bed and there were several drums on bed. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) immediately identified the markings on the truck as ones that belonged to Jacam. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) indicated that (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was not sure if (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was at the well site when the Jacam vehicle arrived or if (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) arrived after the Jacam vehicle. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) could not recall the specific marking which made (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) believe it was a Jacam vehicle. The truck parked next to the connection for the disposal well. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) believed the driver was a white male. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) observed the driver pick up a hose off the ground and connect it to a barrel on the bed of the truck. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was not sure if the barrels were made of steel or poly.

(b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was on site observing the Jacam employee for ten minutes and did not make contact with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C). (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) left the well site while the Jacam employee was still on site.

On March 29, 2011, a MIT was done at the Matlock 2 salt water disposal well. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) met (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) at the well site and asked if (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was aware that Jacam was putting material into the disposal well. (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) said (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) was aware of Jacam's activities at the well and that the

**United States Environmental Protection Agency
Criminal Investigation Division
Investigative Activity Report**

Case Number

0700-0469

chemicals they are putting down the well helps it to take water better. (b) (6), (b) (7) did not ask (b) (6) anymore questions about Jacam's activities.

(b) (6), (b) (7) told KCC UIC District #2 Officer (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) about Jacam dumping into the salt water disposal well and about (b) (6) conversation with (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) (b) (6) did not recall the substance of the conversation other than (b) (6) providing (b) (6), (b) (7)(C) with the information.

This document contains neither recommendations nor conclusions of the EPA.
It is the property of the EPA and is loaned to your agency;
it and its contents are not to be distributed outside your agency.